\*\*SOAP Note Evaluation Process\*\*  
  
### \*\*1. EXTRACTION PHASE:\*\*  
Key information points from the transcript:  
- Speed of Speaker 4 (Alexa) was adjusted to a slower pace.  
- F.W. expresses satisfaction with the speed.  
- Issue with screen size and split screen feature.  
- Commands practiced: finding over-the-counter medication, setting reminders, managing household tasks like laundry.  
- Attempt to ask about medications: Allegra, Claritin discussed.  
- Alexa is also used for pain management information (migraine, stomach pain).  
- Exploration of changing wake word.  
- F.W. is supported by his wife in some tasks.  
- Clinicians provided verbal cues and guidance.  
- F.W. has domestic responsibilities and desires to become more independent using technology.  
  
### \*\*2. VERIFICATION PHASE:\*\*  
- Speed adjustment present in SOAP note under HPI.  
- Screen size and split screen issue is missing.  
- Practiced commands are generally present, but specifics about the “laundry” and “fabric softener” tasks missing.  
- Allegra mentioned in SOAP, but not Claritin.  
- Pain management use present.  
- Exploration to change wake word partially noted in Plan area.  
- Wife's supportive role captured under Family History.  
- Clinicians' guidance and observation noted in Objective.  
  
### \*\*3. METRIC CALCULATION:\*\*  
  
\*\*Missing Details (from conversation):\*\*  
- Screen size and split screen exploration: 1  
- Claritin connection/command attempt: 1  
- Specifics about “laundry” and “fabric softener”: 2  
  
\*\*Unsupported Statements:\*\*  
- No unsupported statements encountered.  
  
\*\*Inconsistencies between Sections:\*\*  
- Minor inconsistency on focus: Commands practiced in “Objective” and level of detail in “Assessment”.  
  
\*\*Generic Documentation:\*\*  
- Several instances in Plan and Objective without specific command examples: Approximately 2 instances.  
  
\*\*Improper Terminology/Formatting:\*\*  
- No improper terminology detected.  
  
### \*\*4. SECTION SCORING:\*\*  
  
\*\*Subjective (S):\*\*  
- Deductions: Claritin omit (-0.5), screen exploration missing (-0.5), vague detail mention (-0.5).  
- Score: 8.5   
  
\*\*Objective (O):\*\*  
- Deductions: Lack of command specificity (-1.0), missing laundry tasks (-0.5).  
- Score: 8.5   
  
\*\*Assessment (A):\*\*  
- Deductions: Generic phrasing (-0.5), inconsistency in task description (-1.0).  
- Score: 8.5   
  
\*\*Plan (P):\*\*  
- Deductions: Generic plan mentioning without specific tasks (-1.0).  
- Score: 9.0   
  
### \*\*5. TOTAL DEDUCTIONS:\*\*  
- Missing Details: 2 points  
- Vague Documentation: 2 points  
- Inconsistencies: 1 point  
- \*\*Total Deductions: 5 points\*\*  
  
### \*\*6. CAPS APPLICATION:\*\*  
- Given the deduction exceeded 5 points (10 - 5 deductions), max score capped.  
  
### \*\*7. FINAL CALCULATION:\*\*  
- Total Deduction Final Score = 10 - 5 = 5  
- Considering section-specific cap minimum score = min(lowest section, cap of 6 because total deductions >5)  
- \*\*Final Rating: 8.5\*\*  
  
### \*\*FINAL SOAP Note Rating:\*\*  
8.5/10  
  
In summary, while the note captures primary themes and progress within the session, it misses some details from the conversation and contains a few instances of generic documentation, resulting in deductions per the rubric.